

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/380,447	09/01/1999	Sachdev S. Sidhu	P1581R2	2633
	7590 03/07/2003			
Timothy R Schwartz Genentech Inc 1 Dna Way			EXAMINER	
			TRAN, MY CHAU T	
South San Fran	ncisco, CA 94080-4990		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1639	
			DATE MAILED: 03/07/2003	17

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			,			
	Applicati n No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/380,447	SIDHU ET	AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	My-Chau T. Tran	1639				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, or within the statutory minimum will apply and will expire SIX (6, cause the application to because the application to be appli	nay a reply be timely filed of thirty (30) days will be conside i) MONTHS from the mailing date ome ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. §	e of this communication. 133).			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_·					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ Thi	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-28</u> is/are pending in the application						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw		١.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) 1-28 are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accep	oted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 Noti	rview Summary (PTO-413) P ce of Informal Patent Applica er:				

Application/Control Number: 09/380,447

Art Unit: 1639

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions, which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-4, 7-9, and 11-12, is drawn to a fusion protein.

Group II, claim(s) 5-6, is drawn to a fusion protein with a portion of a coat protein of a filamentous phage.

Group III, claim(s) 10, is drawn to a library.

Group IV, claim(s) 13, is drawn to a library of virus.

Group V, claim(s) 14, is drawn to a method.

Group VI, claim(s) 15, is drawn to method of decreasing the detection limit of a phage display system.

Group VII, claim(s) 16-20, is drawn to a method of transforming cells.

Group VIII, claim(s) 21, is drawn to a method for producing a product polypeptide.

Group IX, claim(s) 22-23, and 25-26, is drawn to a fusion protein with a portion of a protein III or protein VIII.

Group X, claim(s) 24, is drawn to a library with a fusion protein comprising a portion of a protein III or protein VIII.

Group XI, claim(s) 27, is drawn to a library of virus with a fusion protein comprising a portion of a protein III or protein VIII.

Group XII, claim(s) 28, is drawn to a method with a fusion protein comprising a portion of a protein III or protein VIII.

Art Unit: 1639

2. The inventions listed as Groups I-XII do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

The special technical feature of this invention is a phage display.

However, Scott et al. (1990) disclose the special technical feature of bacteriophage display technique by which polypeptides are displayed as fusion proteins to the coat protein on the surface of bacteriophage particles (Abstract; pg. 386, right col., lines 15-27).

Therefore, groups I-XII are not so linked by the same or a corresponding special technical feature as to form a single inventive concept.

3. This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

- a. A single type of virus (phage).
- b. A single type of coat protein.
- c. A single amino acid residue and its corresponding position (residue number).

 Applicant are further requested to recite the selected coat protein amino acid

 sequence which read on the elected amino acid residue at the different

 positions.
- d. A *single* type of heterologous polypeptide.

Art Unit: 1639

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. *The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added.*An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

- 4. The claims are deemed to correspond to the species listed above in the following manner: Claims 1-2, 4, and 8 of Group I; Claim 5 of Group II; Claim 15 of Group VI; Claim 21 of Group VIII; Claim 22 of Group IX.
- 5. The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: The species are distinct, each from the other structurally and functionally, because their modes of action are different. Therefore, the species have different issues regarding patentability and represent patentably distinct subject matter.
- 6. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Application/Control Number: 09/380,447

Art Unit: 1639

Page 5

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the 7.

inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the

currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the

application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR

1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to My-Chau T. Tran whose telephone number is 703-305-6999.

The examiner is on *Increased Flex Schedule* and can normally be reached on Monday: 8:00-

2:30; Tuesday-Thursday: 7:30-5:00; Friday: 8:00-3:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Andrew J. Wang can be reached on 703-306-3217. The fax phone numbers for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9306 for regular

communications and 703-872-9307 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1123.

mct

March 6, 2003